

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,218		12/31/2003	Martino Cavanna	2723-0126P	7160	
2292	7590	10/31/2005		EXAMINER		
		KOLASCH &	BURCH, MELODY M			
PO BOX 747		A 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ralls chu	rcn, v	A 22040-0747	3683			
				3003		

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/748,218	CAVANNA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Melody M. Burch	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES on STATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Au</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-15</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-15</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	,				
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the reference to the claims on pg. 2 of the specification should be removed (particularly, the reference to cancelled claim 16 in line 27).

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 6-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FR-2794825 to Mougey.

See the attached translation from STIC along with Figs. 1-2 of Mougey.

Mougey appears to lack the feature of that the Mougey invention is directed to composite material brakes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the brake material of Mougey to have been composite brake material since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Art Unit: 3683

The Mougey patent does not preclude applying his teachings to any specific brake material. Note that the wear calculations in Mougey include brake temperature. See, for example, the STIC translation at claim 6, page 8, lines 13-16, etc.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 8/3/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on pg. 7 of the remarks that Mougey discusses a method of determining wear of brake linings and "does not contain any suggestion of the possibility of using the present method for determining the wear of <u>brake disks</u>." Examiner notes that brake linings form a part of brake disks, therefore the Mougey reference also discusses a method of determining wear of brake discs, as broadly recited.

Applicant further argues that Mougey determines the instantaneous wear contribution on the basis of the temperature of the lining "at the beginning of the deceleration (and not during the deceleration)." Examiner disagrees and directs Applicant's attention to the last two lines of pg. 19 and the first two lines of pg. 20 of the Mougey reference in which it is discussed how an increase in temperature *during* the braking event is determined. Also, contrary to Applicant's argument on pg. 8 of the remarks, Examiner notes that the temperature calculation is a function of the mean value of the kinetic energy differential particularly when the total energy dissipated is the sum of two energy values because in the equation on pg. 20 of Mougey the total energy E is divided by 2.

Accordingly, the rejections have been maintained.

Art Unit: 3683

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melody M. Burch whose telephone number is 571-272-7114. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on 571-272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3683

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Smmyo Mmb

October 21, 2005

10/21/05

XlaXII SoNIA Melanie Torres Drimary Examiner

10-27-05